

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/049,632		06/13/2002	Kolja Vogel	VOGE3001/JEK	1392
23364	7590	08/23/2006		EXAMINER	
BACON &	THOMA	AS, PLLC	HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
625 SLATE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314					TATER NOWIBER
ALEXANDI	MA, VA	22314		2136	
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/049,632	VOGEL ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Brandon S. Hoffman	2136						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status .		. 0						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Au	igust 2006.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.	o)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers		•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
							application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		. •						
Attachment(s)								
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/049,632

Art Unit: 2136

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-26 are pending in this office action.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed August 11, 2006, have been considered and are persuasive. However, a new ground of rejection is being made.

## Claim Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. <u>Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10-21, and 23-26</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Buffam</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,185,316) in view of <u>Vafai et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,279,133).

Regarding <u>claims 1-3 and 20</u>, <u>Buffam</u> teaches a method/apparatus for protecting data, comprising:

- Digitizing apparatus arranged to digitize a biometric feature to thereby create digitized biometric feature data (fig. 1, ref. num 105-125);
- A secret data generator comprising (fig. 10); and

 Encrypting and decrypting apparatus arranged to encrypt and decrypt the faulttolerantly coded secret data with the aid of the digitized biometric feature data (fig. 10 and fig. 11);

- Wherein an encrypted code word is decrypted on the basis of the digitized biometric feature data, thereby obtaining a decrypted code word (fig. 11, ref. num 910-930),
- Whereby the secret data is recovered from the decrypted code word on the basis
  of a coding theory method within a freely selectable tolerance level (col. 22,
  lines 16-37).

Buffam does not teach fault-tolerantly coding/decoding the secret data.

<u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches fault-tolerantly coding and decoding the secret data (fig. 4 and col. 10, lines 36-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine fault-tolerantly coding the secret data, as taught by <a href="Vafai et al.">Vafai et al.</a>, with the apparatus of <a href="Buffam">Buffam</a> It would have been obvious for such modifications because fault-tolerantly coding data is widely in use for correcting data from disk drives and other storage (see col. 10, lines 36-49 of Vafai et al.). Correct data is needed to compare to a biometric sample.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,632

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claims 5 and 21</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches including the step of creating initial correction data to describe the space of allowed code words (see col. 9, lines 32-41 of Vafai et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 6 and 22</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches including the step of providing initialization correction data on the basis of the digitized biometric feature data (see col. 9, lines 32-41 of Vafai et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 7</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches including the steps of:

- Creating authentication correction data on the basis of the digitized biometric authentication feature data (see col. 9, lines 32-41 of Vafai et al.);
- Recovering the digitized biometric feature data on the basis of the authentication and initial correction data (see fig. 8, ref. num 630-645 of Buffam);
- Decrypting encrypted secret data on the basis of the recovered digitized biometric feature data (see fig. 8, ref. num 648 of Buffam).

Regarding <u>claim 10</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches including using user-specific initial correction data and/or user-specific fault-tolerant coding (see fig. 4 and col. 10, lines 36-49 of Vafai et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 11, 12, 17, and 25, Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches wherein the handwritten signature is broken down into a public and a secret part and the secret part is a proper subset of the dynamic information of the signature, and the separation is effected with the aid of empirical inquiries (see fig. 1, ref. num 125 and 128 of Buffam).

Regarding <u>claims 13 and 23</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches wherein a hash value is created from the digitized biometric feature data with the aid of a hash function (see col. 12, lines 15-28 of Buffam).

Regarding <u>claims 14 and 24</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches wherein a hash value is created from the digitized biometric authentication feature data with the aid of a hash function (see col. 12, lines 15-28 of Buffam).

Regarding <u>claim 15</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches wherein the biometric feature is a behavioral biometric (see abstract of Buffam).

Regarding <u>claims 16 and 26</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches wherein the biometric feature consists of a handwritten signature (see col. 18, lines 4-21 of Buffam).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,632

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 18</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches wherein the providing and/or digitizing of the biometric feature is effected several times (see col. 18, lines 57-63 of Buffam).

Regarding <u>claim 19</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches wherein the secret data are generated with a public-key method (see col. 14, lines 52-65 of Buffam).

<u>Claims 4, 8, and 9</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Buffam</u> (USPN '316) in view of <u>Vafai et al.</u> (USPN '133), and further in view of <u>Camp, Jr. et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,075,987).

Regarding <u>claim 4</u>, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> teaches all the limitations of claims 1-3, above. However, <u>Buffam</u> as modified by <u>Vafai et al.</u> does not teach wherein the code word is generated by a generating matrix.

Camp, Jr. et al. teaches wherein the code word is generated by a generating matrix (col. 9, lines 9-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine using a matrix for generating a code word, as taught by Camp, Jr. et al., with the method of Buffam/Vafai et al. It would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 2136

such modifications because a generating matrix doesn't add any parity bits to the end of the plain text word to make them code words.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Buffam as modified by Vafai et al. teaches all the limitations of claims 1 and 7, above. However, Buffam as modified by Vafai et al. does not teach wherein the initial correction data are created by calculation of the digitized biometric feature data modulo n, and the authentication correction data are created by calculation of the authentication feature data modulo n.

Camp, Jr. et al. teaches wherein the initial correction data are created by calculation of the digitized biometric feature data modulo n, and the authentication correction data are created by calculation of the authentication feature data modulo n (col. 3, lines 31-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine creating data by modulo n, as taught by Camp, Jr. et al., with the method of Buffam/Vafai et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because modulo arithmetic makes it significantly hard to recreate the data without prior knowledge of other information.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,632

Art Unit: 2136

10/049,632 Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

вн

Branka Hy

NASBER MOAZZAMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/18/06